



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/706,709	11/12/2003	David J. Burke	7599	
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER	
Francis C. Hand, Esq. c/o Carella, Byme, Bain, Gilfillan, Cecchi,			BARNEY, SETH E	
Stewart & Olste		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 Becker Farm Road			3752	
Roseland, NJ 07068			DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)			
		10/706,709	BUR	BURKE, DAVID J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	nit			
٠		Seth Barney	3752				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	tion appears on the cove	r sheet with the corresp	ondence address			
Period fo	or Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how cation. lays, a reply within the statutory minory period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing to become ABANDONED (35 U.	considered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 08 December 2004					
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
0,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	_ `_	andor En Paris Gallyio,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
•	ion of Claims		·				
	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
8)	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority I	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	•	- famalan maladku undan 26	: LL O O C 440/-) /-)	. (6)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
а)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies flot received.							
Attachment(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) ∐)-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT PTO-1449 or PT PT PTO-1449 or PT PT PTO-1449 or PT PT PTO-1449 or PT		Notice of Informal Patent Ap				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,709 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because it is unclear how Figure 4 corresponds to Figure 3 in regards to the concentric rings starting with the outermost ring (40). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear from the specification how fluid communicates between passage (34) and chamber (44). It is unclear from Figure 3 how chamber (44) directs spray to the central concentric rings, while chamber (45) directs fluid to the outer concentric rings. It appears from the figures that both chambers would direct flow to the periphery. It is unclear how fluid is directed away from chambers (44) and (45) when set to only flow through ports (43).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,612,507 to Meyer et al.

Regarding claim 1, Meyer discloses a hose sub assembly having:

- -an inlet (20) capable of being connected to a riser and a discharge end at the opposite end.
 - -a grip (84) secured to the discharge end having a duct for flow of water
- -a spray head assembly (10) including a housing (12) secure to the grip and having a passage in communication with the grip to conduct flow of water, and a spray

Art Unit: 3752

head (80) rotateably mounted on the housing and having a plurality of openings (92) for selective communication with the passage in the housing to discharge water in at least three spray patterns.

Regarding claim 2, the spray head has four circumferentially spaced apart ports for selective communication with the passage, one of the ports communication with a first spout of a first diameter to discharge full stream (94d), a second port of a lesser diameter (94a), and a third port for spraying mist (94c).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-8, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as U.S. Patent No. 6,612,507 to Meyer et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,618,100 to White et al.

Meyer discloses all of the limitations set forth in the claims except for a peripheral and central set of concentric rings of outlets. White et al. discloses a spray nozzle with different spray patterns selectively actuated by a rotating head (20), wherein there are concentric circles of spray located both centrally and peripherally. See Figure 16. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sub hose assembly of Meyer with the concentric circle spray pattern of White in order to allow for a larger variety of spray patterns.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,709

Art Unit: 3752

8. Claim 9, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over as U.S. Patent No. 6,612,507 to Meyer et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,873,531 to Wang.

Meyer discloses all of the limitations set forth in the claims except for a spring-biased detent. Wang discloses a nozzle having a selective pattern spray head with a spring-biased detent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sub hose assembly of Meyer with the detent of Wang in order to properly maintain the selector head in the current selected spray pattern position.

9. Claims 10-18, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,612,507 to Meyer et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,618,100 to White et al.

As aforementioned, Meyer discloses a spray head assembly having all of the limitations claimed except for: external threading, a hollow plug, and a second housing secured to the first housing and having a water passage in the communication with the passage of the first housing to conduct the flow of water. White discloses a spray head having a hollow plug (30) and a second housing (85, 61, See figure 11), as well as peripheral and central set of concentric rings of outlets

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the spray head of White with external threads, since the applicant has not disclosed that external threads solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with any appropriate threading to connect.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,709

Art Unit: 3752

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the spray head of Meyer with the second housing and hollow plug in order to have a simpler flow system.

10. Claim 19, as best understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,612,507 to Meyer et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,618,100 to White et al. as applied to claim 10 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,873,531 to Wang.

Meyer does not disclose a spring-biased detent. Wang discloses a nozzle having a selective pattern spray head with a spring-biased detent. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the sub hose assembly of Meyer with the detent of Wang in order to properly maintain the selector head in the current selected spray pattern position.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seth Barney whose telephone number is (571)272-4896. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571)272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seth Barney Examiner Art Unit 3752

SB

David A. Scherbel
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700